FOR MYSORE STATE

Revenue (1952) Department.

Ms. SERIES.

G.O. NO. 1465

Dated 16.5.52.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Revenue Department.
Subject.

States - Sandur - Merger - Formation of the Sandur taluk - Post of shroff - Permanent sanction requested. Further report - Submitted.

Station: Madras. Ref.W.6561/51-2 dated 28-11-DEC 1951

From

Sri A.F.Mascarenhas, IAS., Secretary, Board of Revenue.

Sri W.R.S.Sattianathan, ICS., Commissioner of Land Revenue.

Read:- From the Collector of Bellary, No.11002/51-A1 dated 10-7-51 and 4-11-51.

REFERENCE:

Submitted to the Government.

2/ In the circumstances stated by the Collector of Bellary, the Board requests Government to accord sanction for a permanent shroff in the Sandur Taluk as such a post is found to be essential. The Collector's action in having employed a shroff from the date of merger of Sandur (1-4-49) may also be approved.

For Secretary.

To.The Secretary to Government, Revenue Dept.
Copy tothe Collector of Bellary.

k.t.a.28/11.

Copy of letter Rc.No.11002/51-A1 dated 10-7-51 from the Collector, Bellary.

Sub:- Establishment - permanent - Sandur Taluk Office - Sanction for the post of one shroff - Copy of the report - Submitted.

Ref:- L.Dis.Cl-1824/51 dated 4-7-51 from the Board of Revenue, Madras.

I enclose a copy of the report (in duplicate duly authenticated) submitted to the Board in this office Rc.No.5480/49-A1 dated 19-2-51 requesting the Board ~~of~~ to move the Government for the permanent sanction of one shroff to the Taluk Office at Sandur.

Sd. x x
for Collector.

(True copy)

---

p.t.o.

Copy of letter No.5480-49-A1 dated 19-2-51 from the Collector, Bellary to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Madras.

Sub:- Establishment - (Bellary) - Sandur - Constitution into a taluk - staff - Sanctioned - Further report - Submitted.

Ref:- Reference No.W.4366-49-10 dated 1-6-50 from the Board of Revenue, Madras.

Govt. Memo.No.39735-G-50-3, Rev. Dept., dated 29-6-50.

at X This office Rc.5488-49-A1 dated 21-8-1950.

In Government Order Ms.No.339, Revenue Dept., dated 13-2-1951 the Government have been pleased to sanction the necessary permanent staff for the Taluk offices at Sandur. In this connection, I invite the attention of the Board to this office reference No. 5480-49-A1 dated 21-8-1950, wherein I had also requested for the sanction of the post of one Shroff. I submit that it is not possible to get on with the Sub Treasury work without the Shroff's post. I, therefore, request that the Board be pleased to move the Government for the permanent sanction of one Shroff to the Taluk Office at Sandur. I am continuing the shroff who is now working in the Taluk Office at Sandur in anticipation of sanction of the post to get on with the day to day sub treasury work. I request that my action may kindly be approved.

G.Rami Reddi,
Collector.

(True copy)

Copy of letter Rc.No.5480-49-A1 dated 11-4-51 from the Collector, Bellary.

Sub:- Establishment - (Bellary) - Sandur - Constituted - into a Taluk - Permanent post of one Shroff - Sanction - Requested..

Ref:- This office Rc.No.5480-49-A1 dated 19-2-51.

I invite the attention of the Board to the reference quoted above, and request that the Board may be pleased to move the Government for the permanent sanction of one Shroff's post to the Taluk Office, Sandur, and also request that my action in continuing the shroff in anticipation of sanction may kindly be approved.

Sd. G.Rami Reddi,
Collector.

(True copy)

---

p.t.o.

Copy of letter Roc.11002/51-A1 dated 4-11-51 from the Collector, Bellary.

Sub:- States - Sandur - Merger - Formation of the Sandur taluk - Post of shroff - Permanent sanction requested - Further report - submitted.

Ref:- Board's Ref.W.6561/51-1 dated 10-8-51.

A copy of this office letter No.5480/49-A1 dated 21-8-50 is enclosed.

The shroff in the Sandur Taluk office is attending to all items of work of a Sub Treasury ~~xxxxxxxxx~~ shroff as laid down in Tre sury rule 11 S.R's 5 and 8 (ie.) instruction 7.

Sanction w s not accorded by Government for the post of a shroff in the Sandur Taluk office either temporarily or permanently even from the date of merger of Sandur st te with the Indian Union ie., from 1-4-49. The shroff was entertained in the Taluk Office in anticipation of sanction from 1-4-49 the ~~xx~~ date of merger of the state. In this connection the Board was addressed in this office Roc.5480/49-A1 dated 21-8-50 to sanction the post of the shroff permanently, consequent on the formation of Sandur taluk. Sanction is now necessary for the post with effect from 1-4-49.

Sd. x x
for Collector.

(True c opy)

Copy of the letter C.No.5480/51-A1 dated 21-8-50.

Sub:- States - Sandur - Merger constitution - into a Taluk - Proposition statement for the staff-proposals - Submitted.

Ref:- Ref.No.W.4366/49-10 dated 1-6-50 from the Board of Revenue, Madras.

The Board in its reference mentioned above has been pleased to recommend to the Government the sanction of the following staff as a permanent measure with effect from 1-7-50.

1 Tahsildar
1 Taluk Head Accountant
1 Upper Division clerk
1 Revenue Inspector
9 Lower Division clerks.

Peons as per scale prescribed in G.O.Ms.No.

p.t.o.

689 Fin., dated 15-7-48 and B.P.Mis.80 dated 25-1-49. -4-

1. S.S.M.

Consequent on the formation of the Taluk the following posts have also be made permanent in addition to the posts referred to above.

1 shroff
1 Taluk Surveyor.
1 Chainman to work under the Taluk Surveyor
1 Minor Irrigation ~~Surveyor~~ Overseer
1 lascar to work under the M.I.O.

2 Lower division clerks.
1 attender
3 peons to work under the
1 copyist. S.S.M.

I therefore request the Board to address the Government for according necessary sanction for these posts also as a permanent measure.

I submit herewith the proposition statement for the entire staff under each head of account for approval.

(True copy)

For Secretary.

k.t.a.29-11

5

Revise Letter

Memo. No. [illegible] dt 30.1.52

Estt. - (Bellary) - Sanction - Merger. Constitution - Shroff - Employment.

From the Board of Rev., Madras.
No. W. 6561/51-2, dt 28.11.1951.

The Board of Rev. is requested to state:-

(i) whether the proposal for sanctioning Shroff Containers in the letter of Collr. of Bellary, No. [illegible]/mf A1, dt 21-8-51 referred to in this letter No. [illegible]/mf A1, dt 19.2.52, submitted with the Board's despatch, is the same as the one contained in the Collr's letter No. 9981/mf, dt 12.9.1950 submitted with the Board proceedings Rc. No. 7406, dt 30.11.1950 and if not, why the Collr. had

6

states in his letter No. 9981/HP 51 of 8.6.1951.
read with the Board's L.D.No. C1/1824/51.0
4.7.1951. that the post of shroff among
other staff might be treated as candidate.

and (ii) whether, besides the shroff's
post which is now covered by sanction, there is
any other post of shroff sanctioned by the
Govt. and, if so, the number and date of the
G.O. sanctioning the post may be specified.

9-1-52.

12/1/52

12/1/52

To the Board please.
(L.R.)



Revenue Department
Subject.

Establishment - Bellary - Sandur Merger constitution: Shroff employment further particulars - Report submitted.

Ref.W.6561/51-4.

Station: Madras. Dated 12.3.52.

From

Sri A.F. Mascaranhas, I.A.S., Secretary, Board of Revenue.

Sri W.R.S. Sattianathan, I.C.S., Commissioner of Land Revenue.

Read: Government memorandum 85943/ A, 51-2 Revenue dated 30.1.52.
Board's Reference W 6561/51-3 dated 18.2.52.
Collector Bellary No.11602/51 A1 dated 1.3.52.

--

Read: From the Board of Revenue Ref.No.W.6561/51-2, dated 28.11.51.

The Board of Revenue is requested to state:

(1) whether the proposal for sanction of shroff contained in the letter of Collector of Bellary No.5480/49 A dated 21.8.50 referred to in his letter No.5480/49 A dated 19.2.51 submitted with the Board's reference quoted above, is the same as the one contained in the Collector's letter No.9981/49, dated 12.7.1950 submitted with the Board's proceedings Rout.No.7406, dated 30.11.50 and if so, why the Collector had stated in his letter No.9981/49 dated 8.6.1951 submitted with the Board's L.Dis.C1-1824/51 dated 4.7.51 that the post of shroff among other staff might be treated as cancelled,

T.O.

and (2) whether, besides the shroff's post which is not covered by sanction there is any other post of shroff sanctioned by the Government and if so, the number and date of the G.O. sanctioning the post may be specified.

P. Arumuga Mudaliar,
Asst. Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (LR).

--

Read again: Board's Ref.No.W.6561/51-3 dated 18.2.52.—
Government Memo.No.85943-A/51-2 Revenue, dated 30.1.52.—

--

The proposal for the sanction of shroff submitted in this office C.No.5480/49 dated 21.8.50 is the same as the one contained in this office C.No.9981/49 dated 12.7.50.

In this Office C.No.9981/49 dated 7.6.51 referred to by the Government, it was reported that the proposals in respect of one Taluk Head Accountant, one Lower Division Clerk, one Shroff and one peon submitted in this office Rc.No.9981/49 dated 8.4.50 might be treated as cancelled; as the posts of the Taluk Head Accountant, one Lower Division Clerk and one peon had been sanctioned in G.O.No.339, Revenue Department dated 13.2.51 and also separate proposals had been submitted for the sanction of one permanent post of shroff in this office Roc.5480/49 A.1 dated 19.2.51. These facts were reported in para 3 of this office Roc.9981/49 S.1 dated 8.6.51(Sandur section) referred to by the Government.

Besides the shroff's post which is not covered by sanction there is no other post of Shroff sanctioned by the Government.

REFERENCE:

Submitted to the Government with reference to their memo. dated 30.1.52 The Board invites the attention of Government to its reference W6561/51-2 dated 28.11.51 and requests orders.

For Secretary.

ps123 To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Dept.
Copy to the Collector of Bellary.

Rev. Dept.

Read: G.O.Ms. 339 Rev d 13.2.51

~~G.O.Ms 2186 Rev d 20.8.51~~

Read also: From the Bd of Rev. Regn. B561/51-2 d 28.11.51

Govt. Memo. No. 85943 A/51-2 d 30.1.52.

From the Bd of Rev. Reg. No. B561/51-4 d 12.3.52.

G.O.Ms. No. ____ d. ____

In the circumstances reported, sanction is accorded to the creation of a post ~~for a permanent Shroff~~ the employment of a shroff on a permanent basis in the Taluk office Sandur, with effect from 1.4.49 subject to the condition that in this post only a permanent employee of the Sandur State ~~who was~~ absorbed under the Madras Govt. service should be confirmed and that when such a permanent employee of the State is not available for confirmation, the post should be treated as a temporary one till 31.3.53. The action of the Collr. of Bellary in having employed a shroff from the date of the merger of Sandur (1.4.49) in anticipation of the sanction of the Govt is approved.

2. The expenditure should be debited to the appropriate subheads under '25 General Administration'.

8/4/12

12/4/12

To
The Addl Secy (LR)
Addl. Secy (Bellary)
Addl Secy (Fin)
Secy (Pol) Dept

Revenue Department.

Read: G.O. Ms. 339 Revenue dated 13.2.1951.
Read also: From the Board of Revenue Ref.W.6561/51-2 dated 28.11.1951.

Govt. Memo No.35943 A/51-2 dated 30.1.52.

From the Board of Rev. Ref.W.6561/51-4 dated 12.3.1952.

..

G.O. Ms. 1467 dated 16-5-52

In the circumstances reported, sanction is accorded to the creation of a post of a shroff on a permanent basis in the Taluk Office, Sandur with effect from 1.4.1949 subject to the condition that in this post, only a permanent employee of the Sandur State absorbed under the Madras Govt. service should be confirmed and that when such a permanent employee is not available for confirmation, the post should be continued on a temporary basis till 31.3.1953. The action of the Collector of Bellary in having employed a shroff from the date of the merger of Sandur (1.4.1949) in anticipation of the sanction of the Government is approved.

2. The expenditure should be debited to the appropriate sub-heads under "25 General Administration.

To the Board of Rev. (L.R.)
Collr. of Bellary.
A.G. thro' Fin.
Public (Pol.) Dept.

Rev. Dept

C.No 8T943A/51 Estt. - (Bellary) - Sanction - Merger - Creation of Shroff - Employment - Proposal - in connection with the Board of Rev., Refer- ence No. W. 6561/51-2, dt 28-11-1951.

To A.S.

Please see the Board's letter in this connection.

2. Sanction the recommendation made by the Board of Rev. in their Reference No. W. 4366/49-10, dt 1.6.1950 to appoint the following staff on permanent basis after obtaining orders of S.F.C. and the Cabinet:

(1) One Taluk Head Accountant
(2) One Taluk Head Accountant
(3) One upper Div. clerk
(4) One Revenue Inspector
(5) Nine Lower Div. clerks
(6) Nine Peons.

The Board's recommendation for sanction of the above staff was made after examining the C.M.'s proposals in his letter No. 5480/49 A1 dt 13.5.1950. Though the post of T.H.A. was proposed by the C.M. and recommended for sanction by the Board, no post of Shroff was mentioned either by the C.M. or by the Board. The C.M. states that in his letter No. 5480/49 A1, dt 21.8.1950, the requested for the sanction of the post of Shroff. As the Shroff was not sanctioned among the staff in G.O. No. No. 339, Rev., dt 13.2.1951, the state is that it is not

possible to get on with the sub-treasury without the shroffs posts. He has therefore requested that the shroffs may be sanctioned on a permanent basis and that this action in continuing the shroffs from the date of merger of Sandur (i.e. 1.4.1948) may be approved. The Board has recommended the Collr's proposal.

3. It is noted that the Collr's letter No. 54 Fd/49 Alt., dtd 21.8.1950 requesting for permanent sanctioning of shroffs has not been received before. Attention is however invited to the Collr's letter No. C.9981/50, dtd 12.7.1950 and para 2 of B.P.Rev. No. 7406, dtd 29.11.50 in which the following staff were recommended for sanction in the sub-Treasury at Sandur.

(1) One Taluk Head Accountant
(2) One shroff
(3) One lower Div. clerk
(4) One peon.

The Board was asked to report whether the posts of T.H.A., lower div. clerk mentioned above were not already covered by the proposal contained in the Board's letter No. W. 4766/49, dtd 1.6.1950 disposed of as Leg. No. NW. 339. Rev., on 13.2.1951. In reply, the Board sent a copy of the Collr's letter No. 9981/49 A. on 8.6.1951 which, it stated, contained the answer. In para 3 of this letter on 5.6.1951, the Collr. stated, that the posts of T.H.A., lower div. clerk & peon were already

caused by the sanction of permanent estt. carried in Law. Min. No. 339, Rev., of 13.2.1951. He also stated that the proposals in respect of T.H.A., one lower Div. Clerk, one sheriff and one peon sanctioned by him might be treated as cancelled. Hence, no sheriff has been sanctioned in the Sunder Sub-Treasury. The Collector's proposal for the sanction of sheriff in the Sunder Sub-Treasury. Before accepting the proposal, the Board may be addressed on the following points.

(i) whether the proposal for sanction of sheriff contained in the Collr's letter No. 5280/MP Dt. of 21.8.1950 is the same as the one contained in his letter No. 9981/49 of 12-7-1950. If so, why the need stated in his letter No. 9987/MP51, of 8.6.1951, that the post of sheriff among other posts might be treated as cancelled.

and (ii) whether, besides the sheriff post which is now covered by sanction, there is any other post of sheriff or sheriffs sanctioned by the Govt. If so, to state the number & date of the G.O. sanctioning the post or posts.

Subject to orders, a draft memo. is sent for approval. Finance may see before issue.

12/1/52

9.1.52

10/1/52

Draft 'R'
10.1.52
10.1.52

Rev. Law No. DS 947 MP 52-1
12.1.52

ADDITIONAL DEPUTY
2816
1 JAN 1952
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

4

Finance Ex A

The Revenue dept's note on pp 1-3 above and the draft memo below may be perused.

RR 27.1.52.

Rev 27/1/52

Rev.

Fin memo 2816 Ex 24.1.52

Rev. Dept



To A.S.

Please see the note of the Rev. Dept above. The draft memo. may kindly be seen.

M 25.1.52

30/1/52

Or 25/1/52

Issued as Memo no. 85-943 A/51-2 dt 30.1.52. pp 5-6 cf

Form the Bd of Rev. Refn. 65-61/51-4 dt 12.3.52 pp 7-8 cf.

To A.S.

Please see memo. on pp 5-6 cf, and the Bd's report thereon pp 7-8 cf. In the circumstances reported by the Coll. the Bd's recommendation in para 2 on p. 1 cf may be accepted.

30/1/52

2. In the case of Banganapalli State, permanent posts in the Taluk office, Banganapalli, Kurnool Dt. were created subject to the condition that in those permanent posts only permanent employees of the erstwhile Banganapalli State who were ~~absorbed~~ absorbed under the Madras Govt. services should be confirmed and that when such permanent employees of the State are not available for confirmation the posts should not be made permanent. Similar procedure was adopted in the case of Sandur State in regard to the permanent posts of one Tahsildar, one T. H.A, one H.S, one R.I, nine L. D.Cs and five peons ~~created~~ sanctioned in G.O.Ms. 339 Rev dt 13.2.51.

pp 5-6 cf 2 yr pp 2 NE 2(F)

p. 16 cf 2(F)

the case of Shroff 5

The same procedure may be adopted in this case also. Subject to orders a draft order is sent for approval. Pub(Pol) and Finance may see for remarks if any before circulation

Pub(Pol).

8/4/52

12/4 Pub(Pol)/FMV

Rev. Dis

85-943 A/17.3.

Public (Political-A) Deptt.

Notes from p.1 ante and the draft order below may be perused and the file passed on to Finance. No remarks are called for.

16/4/52

16.4.52

17/4

(Last seen in Finance on p.4 ante)

Finance (E.II)

Pub(Poll.A)Deptt
No NO 1550/52-1

Notes from p.3 ante may be perused and the draft order below accepted. (The estima cost involved is Rs 728 p.a. recurring) subject to the amendments indicated in pencil.

Cost 387
190
30
60.7x12 = 7284

21/4/52

21.4.52

ADDITIONAL ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
23 APR 1952
2441/A
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Rev. Depn.

29304
11 APR 1952
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

Fin UONO 29304 EII/52

22/4/52

Jobs

The proposal in this file relates to the creation of a permanent post of shroff in the Salt Office Sambar with effect from 1.4.49. The proposal has been agreed to in Pub (Pol) and Fin. Finance have however suggested certain verbal amendments to the draft order. They ~~may be agreed to. Subject to orders~~ A revised draft order incorporating the amendments, is sent.

O. NO. 85943A/37

to Appt formal. The file may be circulated to H.P (I&R) ~~and H.P. file may see~~ before

I ascertained from Finance that Circular letter [illegible]

bone.

Submission
8/5/52
9/5/52

P. Thurlow 13/5/52

S. Mitchell 13/5/52

M. A. Manickavasagam
H.R. (I&R) 14/5

Secretary
Ministry of Home Affairs
15 MAY 1952
New Delhi

Secretary
The draft should be submitted separately
L 10/5/52

Explanation
A
Appt

H.P. concerned
C1&/
15/5/52